



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,164	03/26/2004	Steve Beaudin	7000-323	2009

  

27820	7590	05/14/2007
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.		
100 REGENCY FOREST DRIVE		
SUITE 160		
CARY, NC 27518		

  

EXAMINER	
WENDELL, ANDREW	

  

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2618	

  

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/14/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/811,164	Applicant(s) BEAUDIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrew Wendell	Art Unit 2618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Wendell. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Anthony Josephson. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4-5, 8-10, 14-16, 19-20, 23-25, and 29-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fuerter (US Pat# 6,125,109) and Katz (US Pat# 7,069,051).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed about applicant's invention. Talked about how the examiner is reading claims 1 and 16 different from applicant's view. Discussed possible amendment to over come prior art to further define a second received signal being different from the first received signal. Also, briefly talked about allowable subject matter in dependent claims to be rewritten into independent claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**NAY MAUNG**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required